Title and introduction ~ 1 Minute

Physics-informed Neural Networks

A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations

Introductory example \sim 4 min

So what exactly is a physics-informed neural network?

"Naive" purely data-driven model

Physics informed neural network

Motivation, problem statement & related **literature** \sim 2 min

Motivation and problem presentation

● Physics informed neural networks (**PINNs**)

● Improve ML models with **sparse data** availability

● Direct integration of physical laws through **Partial Differential quations**

• Bridge the gap between data-driven ML and physics modeling

● **Performance** improvement with high dimensional data without loss of accuracy

Related literature

● Artificial Neural Networks for Solving Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations [\(I. E. Lagaris](https://arxiv.org/search/physics?searchtype=author&query=Lagaris,+I+E)[, A. Likas,](https://arxiv.org/search/physics?searchtype=author&query=Likas,+A) [D. I. Fotiadis,](https://arxiv.org/search/physics?searchtype=author&query=Fotiadis,+D+I) 1997)

● Discovering governing equations from data: Sparse identification of nonlinear dynamical systems [\(Steven L. Brunton](https://arxiv.org/search/math?searchtype=author&query=Brunton,+S+L)[, Joshua L. Proctor](https://arxiv.org/search/math?searchtype=author&query=Proctor,+J+L)[, J. Nathan Kutz](https://arxiv.org/search/math?searchtype=author&query=Kutz,+J+N), 2015)

● Hidden Physics Models: Machine Learning of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations [\(Maziar Raissi,](https://arxiv.org/search/cs?searchtype=author&query=Raissi,+M) [George Em Karniadakis,](https://arxiv.org/search/cs?searchtype=author&query=Karniadakis,+G+E) 2017)

Data-driven solutions of PDEs $~\sim 6$ min

Example

1D Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation:

$$
i\partial_t \psi = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 \psi + \kappa |\psi|^2 \psi
$$

$$
\Rightarrow -0.5\frac{d^2}{dx^2}h - |h|^2h = i\frac{d}{dt}h \text{ for } x \in [-5, 5], t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]
$$

$$
\Rightarrow f = ih_t + 0.5h_{xx} + |h|^2 h
$$

Initial / Boundary-conditions

$$
h(0, x) = 2sech(x)
$$

$$
h(t, -5) = h(t, 5)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}h(t, -5) = \frac{d}{dt}h(t, 5)
$$

$Error \rightarrow Solution$

$$
MSE = MSE_0 + MSE_b + MSE_f
$$

$$
MSE_0 := Error\ for\ initial\ state\ [h(0,x)]
$$

 $MSE_b :=$ Error for 1st,2nd boundary condition [h(t,-5)]

$$
MSE_f := \textit{Error for PDE} \left[\textit{f(t,x)} \right]
$$

Neural Network

 $Hidden_Layer \in \mathbb{R}^{100}$

Results

Demonstration $~5$ min

Discrete Equation

Discrete Equation: Allen-Cahn

http://open.umich.edu/education/lsa/resources/psn m/2012 Gong Chen, Brandon Cloutier, Ning Li, Benson K. Muite and Paul Rigge

Allen-Cahn Equation

$$
\begin{aligned} &u_t-0.0001u_{xx}+5u^3-5u=0, \hspace{0.2cm} x\in [-1,1], \hspace{0.2cm} t\in [0,1],\\ &u(0,x)=x^2\cos(\pi x),\\ &u(t,-1)=u(t,1),\\ &u_x(t,-1)=u_x(t,1). \end{aligned}
$$

M. Raissi et al. / Journal of Computational Physics 378 (2019) 686-707

Source: Stefan Kollmannsberger & Davide D'Angella & Moritz Jokeit & Leon Herrmann: *Deep Learning in Computational Mechanics,* 2021 Springer p.74

Solution

$SSE = SSE_n + SSE_h$

SSE_h = Boundary Condition Error

 SSE_n = Difference between predicted $u^{n+c_i},...,u^{n+1}$ and u^n

Data driven discovery of PDEs / inverse problem $~\sim 6$ Min

Data-Driven Discovery with PINNs

Data-Driven discovery with PINNs: Navier-Stokes equation

$$
f := u_t + \lambda_1 (uu_x + vu_y) + p_x - \lambda_2 (u_{xx} + u_{yy}),
$$

\n
$$
g := v_t + \lambda_1 (uv_x + vv_y) + p_y - \lambda_2 (v_{xx} + v_{yy}),
$$

$$
u_x + v_y = 0, \qquad \quad u = \psi_y, \quad v = -\psi_x,
$$

 $\{t^i, x^i, y^i, u^i, v^i\}_{i=1}^N$

Data-Driven discovery with PINNs: Navier-Stokes equation

$$
\left[\psi(t,x,y) \quad p(t,x,y)\right] \quad \left[\int f(t,x,y) \quad g(t,x,y)\right]
$$

$$
MSE := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(|u(t^i, x^i, y^i) - u^i|^2 + |v(t^i, x^i, y^i) - v^i|^2 \right)
$$

 $+\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \left(|f(t^i, x^i, y^i)|^2 + |g(t^i, x^i, y^i)|^2\right).$

Continuous Time Model: Navier-Stokes in Fluid Dynamics

Discrete Time Model: Burgers equation

$$
u_t + \lambda_1 u u_x - \lambda_2 u_{xx} = 0
$$

Comparison to traditional methods, critique & conclusion ~ 5 Min

Comparison

● Surrogate Modeling

● Numerical Methods (FDM, FEM…)

Conclusion

- + PINNs excel in **sparse data**, robust against noise
- + Potentially surpass classical methods with Runge-Kutta integration (Sec 4.2)
- + **Computational Cost** (High dimensional PDEs)

- True paradigm shift or an incremental update over traditional models?
- Paper did not provide detailed comparison with other models, s.a. FEM
- Reliability

Q & A \sim 15 Min

Q & A

Sources

[sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0021999118307125](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0021999118307125)

open.umich.edu/education/Isa/resources/psnm/2012 Bong Chen, Brandon Cloutier, Ning Li, Benson K. Muite and Paul Rigge

● benmoseley.blog/my-research/so-what-is-a-physics-informed-neural-network/

Stefan Kollmannsberger & Davide D'Angella & Moritz Jokeit & Leon, Herrmann: Deep Learning in Computational Mechanics, 2021 Springer p.74